1

6bt7lonm UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 . SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 LONGVIEW SPECIAL FINANCE, INC., 3 4 Plaintiff, 5 06 Civ. 1772 INFINIUM LABS, INC., 6 7 Defendant. 8 9 November 29, 2006 ,2:00 p.m. 10 11 Before: 12 HON, RICHARD J. HOLWELL 13 District Judge 14 APPEARANCES 15 KENNETH A. ZITTER 16 Attorney for Plaintiff 17 HELLER HOROWITZ & FEIT, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Michael Bachner 18 BY: RICHARD F. HOROWITZ JOSEPH SCHICK 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

EXHBIT A

6bt7lonm

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21

1 Second, Longview has made an adequate showing that Infinium is insolvent and likely could not pay monetary damages 2 awarded at the conclusion of this litigation. See Brentag 3 International Chemicals, Inc. v. Bank of India, 175 F.3d 245, 4 250 (2d Cir. 1999). Third, courts in this district have found that ordering compliance with the terms of a security contract is an appropriate form of relief in cases such as this, and monetary damages are not an adequate remedy. the court notes two decisions: Alpha Capital v. Advanced Viral Research Corp., 2003 WL 328302 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 11, 2003) and Alpha Capital v. Group Management Corp., 2002 WL 31681798 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 25, 2002). Compelling compliance rather than simply awarding damages reinforces the sanctity of bargains between corporations and creditors and investors and is consistent with the debenture holders' potential excise of their rights as equity shareholders.4 Therefore, Longview is entitled to injunctive relief.

The court, however, is sympathetic to defendant's argument that the relief sought is overbroad. With this in mind, the court enters an injunction directing Infinium to deliver to Longview 1,849,568 shares of Infinium common stock and to do so immediately.

Infinium is also directed to honor all future conversion notices duly submitted by Longview and all future exercises of warrants duly submitted by Longview.